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<thead>
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<tbody>
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<td>Support LEAs and schools in building partnerships with education partners in creating a supportive school climate for all</td>
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A brief introduction

**Education:**
- Sociology B.A. (Harvard, ‘06)
- J.D. (UC Berkeley, ‘14): juvenile law, education law
- Public Policy PhD (UC Berkeley, ‘22)

**Relevant work:**
- Former Director, Berkeley High School restorative court
- Director, Bend It To Justice, LLC (‘06 – present)
- Professor, UCLA Schools of Public Health & Education (July)

**Life goals:** Bridge k-12 research, policy, and practice; combat racial disparities in schools; and expand belonging

**Fun fact:** 7x American Ninja Warrior competitor
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What are restorative practices (RP)?

**Repair practices**
- Formal conferences where stakeholders (respondents, victims, community members) work together to resolve conflict
- Informal repair conversations

**Community-building practices**
- Community-building circles to build school relationships
- Situational role-playing and other practices for inculcating conflict resolution skills
- Re-entry circles

A day in a restorative school
The restorative shift

- January 2014 DOE / DOJ guidance on discipline disparities
  - threatened to claw back Title I funds
  - suggested RP as a mechanism for reducing disparities
  - provided technical guidance and grant funding
  - issued dozens of consent decrees
- Between 2014-15 and 2015-16, a huge increase in California schools’ levels of RP utilization

![Graph showing data from California School Staff Survey](image)

Data adapted from California School Staff Survey. n = 218,820 staff.
Why the interest in RP?
Harms of student discipline

• Student discipline is related to negative educational, school climate, mental health, and carceral outcomes for students, regardless of racial background (Losen, 2015; Bacher-Hicks et al., 2019)

• However, discipline rates are uneven...

Risk of negative outcomes for Black students who were, or were not, suspended in school

Charts adapted from Losen, 2015
Black-White disparities are pervasive and persistent

Black-White suspension disparities appear across student groups and scholastic contexts

Chart adapted from Government Accountability Office (2018)

Black-White discipline disparities persist in California and are particularly pronounced among middle school students

2018-19 CAASPP data (n = 915,134)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Student Group</th>
<th>Suspension Rate (White)</th>
<th>Suspension Rate (Black)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Preschool</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elementary school</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle school</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

White students
Black students

Black over-representation, by population (1 = no over-representation, 2 = twice as likely to be suspended.)
Black-White discipline disparities may lead Black students to feel they don’t belong

- Black students in schools with higher Black discipline rates evidence lower scores on feeling they are part of the school, even if they themselves have not been suspended in the past 12 months.

- Belonging in school is related to myriad student outcomes, including mental health (Aldridge & McChesney, 2018).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feel like part of school (1-5)</th>
<th>Model 1</th>
<th>Model 2</th>
<th>Model 3+</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Black discipline rate</td>
<td>-.82***</td>
<td>-.52**</td>
<td>-.50*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(.19)</td>
<td>(0.20)</td>
<td>(.21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suspended in last 12 months</td>
<td>-0.32***</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(.05)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>4.10</td>
<td>4.13</td>
<td>3.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n 6,229</td>
<td>6,229</td>
<td>5,493+</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

California Healthy Kids Survey data, 2017-18 and 2018-19. All models control for student sex, whether student identifies as Hispanic, parental education, and Free-or-Reduced Price Lunch (FRPL) status. Model is limited to students who were not themselves suspended.

The role of school practices: Evidence of differential response

Evidence from the lab

• When behavior is constant, teachers felt more troubled by, and responded more harshly to, misbehaviors by Black students (Okonofua & Eberhardt, 2015)

• Preschool teachers asked to look for “problem behaviors” focused their attention on Black boys (Gilliam et al., 2016)

Evidence from the real world

• Relative to White students, Black students are 26.2% more likely to receive an out-of-school suspension for their first offense (Gregory et al., 2016)

• When Black and White students fight, Black students receive harsher sanctions (Barrett et al., 2021)
A potential solution: Restorative mindsets reduce discipline disparities

- In two RCTs, teachers were experimentally encouraged to adopt restorative mindsets
- “Treated” teachers showed smaller racial disparities in responses to student misbehavior and more desire for student connection with students of all backgrounds


I dropped out of school – actually they kicked me out because I didn’t want to give them my hat. It was real zero tolerance! I was expelled for defiance for putting a hat in my backpack instead of giving it to them. And I had had bad experiences since preschool so it was easy for me to be like “[forget] this.” As a teenager, I was thinking “you don’t care about us anyway. You just get paid checks per student in a seat.”

At first, I thought it was some kumbaya bullshit. But after two weeks, I realized it was the first time in my life I ever wanted to be at a school! Like we got circle today, I gotta go! I wanted to be in class, do projects, interact, be one of the first students called on. I felt good being up here! Without [restorative practices], I’d probably be dead or in jail too. After I graduated, I realized I could bring this to homies to change my community. I was like “this is what I want to do.” I had already lost four friends to the justice system, four sentenced to 10-15 years under the age of 20. I had seen four murdered in the same year. I wanted to save my friends’ lives.

Restorative transformations

Restorative practices saved my life. It’s a lifestyle, not a practice or a program or none of that bullshit. It’s not something you turn on or turn off. Once you start doing it, you will start having restorative conversations and learn to be a good listener. And you make really lasting relationships because [restorative practices] teach you not to be afraid of opening up to people.


Five RCTs (Cook et al., 2018; Duong et al., 2019; Augustine et al., 2018, Acosta et al., 2019; Gregory et al., 2021) paint a mixed picture.

- **Discipline rates**: Declines
- **Discipline disparities**: Mixed results
- **Misbehavior**: Mixed results
- **School climate**: Mixed results
- **Academic outcomes**: Mixed results

**Programs do not always lead to practices.** Schools that received restorative programming showed identical levels of restorative practice utilization as schools that did not receive programming.

However, *exposure to practices* is related to *positive outcomes* (Gregory et al., 2016; Acosta et al., 2019; Darling-Hammond et al., 2021).
Why research on restorative programs may not detect impacts of restorative practices

Restorative programs
- Add-on programs
- Whole-school programs
- Teacher education
- Community learning

Other ways to learn RP

Teacher utilization of restorative practices
- Repair practices
- Community building practices

Student exposure to restorative practices
- Repair practices
- Community building practices

Outcomes
- Academic
- School climate / Health
- Discipline / behavior
What happens when we measure restorative practice utilization and exposure directly?

What are the effects of restorative practices on student outcomes?
RP exposure predicted lower suspension rates for all student groups, and smaller Black-White disparities.
RP exposure predicted lower suspension rates for all student groups, and smaller Black-White disparities.

At lower levels of RP exposure, larger Black-White disparity.

At higher levels of RP exposure, smaller Black-White disparities.

RP exposure predicted fewer days suspended and smaller Black-White disparities

RP exposure predicted fewer days suspended; and smaller Black-White disparities

At lower levels of RP exposure, larger Black-White disparity

At higher levels of RP exposure, smaller Black-White disparities

RP exposure predicted improved ELA achievement, and slightly smaller disparities

RP exposure predicted improved Math achievement, and slightly smaller disparities

As schools became more restorative, they saw benefits across a wide array of outcomes.

Figure depicts standardized regression coefficients. Models adjust for student compositional shifts on race, ethnicity, gender, FRPL status, and parent education. Error bars indicate 95% confidence intervals.
Shift in school climate vs. Shift in restorative practice utilization

Moves towards restorative practices are helpful; moves away are harmful.
Summary so far

• When teachers use more restorative practices, and when students see more exposure to them, we see improvements in academic, disciplinary, behavioral, and school climate outcomes

• However, restorative programming does not necessarily lead to teachers using, or student students being exposed to, restorative practices

• How can we drive increases in utilization and exposure to these potent practices
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Measurement allows schools to ensure they follow the path from program to outcome.
Restorative programs

- Add on programs
- Whole-school programs
- Teacher education
- Community learning

Other ways to learn RP

Teacher utilization of restorative practices

- Repair practices
- Community building practices

Teacher discretion

Student exposure to restorative practices

- Repair practices
- Community building practices

Outcomes

- Academic
- Discipline / Health

Is the programming effective?
Are teachers ready for RP?
Is the school structured so teachers can use RP?
Are teachers using RP?
Are teachers using RP with all kinds of students?
Are teachers sustaining their use of RP?
Access to restorative practices is differential by race, class

RP exposure is lowest where discipline severity is the worst

- Black students
- Hispanic students
- Economically disadvantaged students

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable predicting RP exposure</th>
<th>Student level models</th>
<th>School level models</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grade (relative to 6th grade)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7th grade</td>
<td>-0.06 (.007)</td>
<td>0.28* (0.12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8th grade</td>
<td>-0.08 (.007)</td>
<td>-0.29* (0.09)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race (White reference)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>-0.098*** (.015)</td>
<td>-0.80*** (0.19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>-0.044*** (.010)</td>
<td>0.19** (0.08)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>0.057** (.017)</td>
<td>0.23** (0.10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economically disadvantaged</td>
<td>-0.098*** (.009)</td>
<td>-0.44*** (0.07)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Migrant</td>
<td>-0.019 (.018)</td>
<td>-0.05 (0.58)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>English language learner</td>
<td>-0.018*** (.005)</td>
<td>-0.08 (0.13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special education</td>
<td>0.003** (.001)</td>
<td>-0.11 (0.23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>0.000 (.000)</td>
<td>0.04 (0.27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>3.64</td>
<td>3.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>318,831</td>
<td>482 schools</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r²</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Implementation challenges on the ground
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We’ve covered Why — now let’s talk about

• What
• How
• When
What are we measuring when we measure restorative practices?

- Training / Learning
- Utilization
- Exposure
- Outcomes
- Movement in either direction
Measurement overview

When are we measuring restorative practices?

• Before training (capture “baselines”)
• Soon after trainings (capture “learning” and short-term outcomes)
• A few months after training (capture short-term outcomes)
• Many months after training (capture long-term outcomes)
Measurement overview

How are we measuring restorative practices?

• Quantitative surveys of students, teachers, restorative coordinators, and administrators
• Qualitative interviews and focus groups
Developing low-cost RP data systems

• Google forms for teacher surveys to track implementation with temporal and spatial granularity
• Google forms for student surveys to track exposure with temporal and spatial granularity
• Post-event rapid qualitative feedback
Teacher surveys (example questions)
• Did you attend the RP training?
• Did the RP training provide clarity about RP?
• Did the RP training persuade you that implementing RP can improve outcomes for students?
• What about for teachers?
• After the RP training, do you feel you know what you would need to know to implement RP in your classroom?
• What more do you feel you would need to know?
• Please indicate the extent to which you believe the RP training empowered you to overcome the following implementation challenges:
• How would you suggest improving the RP training?

Student surveys (example questions)
• N/A

Qualitative (example questions)
• Post-training interviews / focus groups with teachers about their reactions to the trainings
Teacher surveys (example questions)
• To what extent do you use each of the following practices?

Student surveys (example questions)
• To what extent do your teachers do the following things? (Disaggregate responses by race within classroom)

Qualitative approaches (example questions)
• Interviews / focus groups with teachers about the extent to which they are using RP
• Interviews / focus groups with students about the extent to which they are seeing teachers use RP
Using CHKS data

CHKS student survey items utilized to measure restorative practice exposure, subdivided by practice type

| Repair practices | 1. This school helps students solve conflicts with one another |
|                 | 2. If I tell a teacher that someone is bullying me, the teacher will do something |
| Community building practices | 3. This school encourages students to feel responsible for how they act |
|                        | 4. This school encourages students to understand how others think and feel |
|                        | 5. This school encourages students to care about how others feel |
|                        | 6. Students are taught that they can control their own behavior |
| Breadth measures       | 7. Teachers show it is important for students of different races to get along |
|                        | 8. The adults in this school respect differences in students |
CHKS data challenges

- Sample sizes within schools
- Data collection frequency
- Grades evaluated
- Temporal misalignment between CHKS and school staff survey

Note: To learn more about the California Healthy Kids Survey (CHKS), see https://calschls.org/about/the-surveys/#chks
Measurement and implementation in practice
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Continuous improvement creates a framework for steadily driving improvement on an outcome. In this framework, you

1) identify a challenge
2) set a measurable goal
3) make a change, and
4) track progress.

Then iterate and do it again.
Potential RP implementation challenges amenable to continuous improvement

- E.g., “Teacher uptake of voluntary professional development is low”
- E.g., “Teacher utilization of RP is uneven”
- E.g., “Student exposure to RP is uneven”
CI and RP: Example one

1) Identify a challenge
Teacher uptake of RP trainings is low.

2) Set a measurable goal
80% of incoming teachers participate in fall professional development for RP.

3) Make a change
Invite teachers to a series of paid sessions to discuss (and try to alleviate) concerns about implementing RP.

4) Track progress
Identify the percentage of incoming teachers who participate.
CI and RP: Example two

1) **Identify a challenge**
Teacher utilization of RP is uneven. Most math teachers have a “low” level of utilization.

2) **Set a measurable goal**
By the end of the year, have all math teachers at least at a “medium” level of utilization.

3) **Make a change**
Provide math teachers with supplemental PD regarding how RP can be used in math courses.

4) **Track progress**
At the end of the spring, identify math teachers’ levels of utilization.
References


Thank you!
Final Thoughts
Thank you for joining us.